

# Checklist for Review

The following list contains some key points that a manuscript must be complied with.

Please note that this sheet is guide to review and should not be used as a review form.

## Original Papers

### Title

---

Proper reflection of the contents

Concise

Not a questioning form

Not a declarative form

### Abstract

---

Complete yet succinct

Independent

Proper length

### Introduction

---

Informative but brief background for the readers

The present scientific gap described

Study rationale adequately described

Clear statement of the objectives of investigation

### Materials and Methods

---

Proper Sampling

Clear inclusion/exclusion criteria

Clear description of methods

Scientifically acceptable methods

Study design consonant with the objectives

Adequately described ethical issues

### Results

---

Providing the expected results

Proper statistical analyses and reports

Results in line with the study's objectives presentations of this paper in meetings are mentioned in the covering letter.

### Discussion

---

Already published studies mentioned

Well description of differences/similarities with other studies

Discussing the potential limitations of the study

### Conclusions

---

A brief conclusion according to the study's questions

Acceptable degree of certainty in the conclusion

### References

---

Valid and up-to-date

Style complied with the journal's format

### Tables and Figures

---

Informative

Additional data to the text

Proper number/amount

### And...

---

The paper is original

No misconduct (plagiarism/fabrication/falsification/duplicate publication)

The paper adds to the global knowledge

### Case Reports

#### Title

---

Proper reflection of the contents

Concise

Not a questioning form

Not declarative

#### Introduction

---

Informative but brief background for the readers

Importance of reporting the case adequately described

#### Case Report

---

Providing all necessary data of the case

Reasonable diagnostic and therapeutic interventions

Convincing evidence for diagnosis

#### Discussion

---

Already published studies/case reports mentioned

Well description of differences/similarities with other cases

Proper interpretation of the diagnostic/treatment measures

A brief conclusion according to the report

Acceptable degree of certainty in the conclusion

#### References

---

Valid and up-to-date

Style complied with the journal's format

#### Figures

---

Informative

Additional data to the text

Proper number/amount

Desirable resolution and quality

### And...

---

The paper is original

No misconduct (plagiarism/fabrication/falsification/duplicate publication)

The paper adds to the global knowledge