JHP

http://www.herbmedpharmacol.com

doi: 10.34172/jhp.2020.29



Journal of Herbmed Pharmacology

Chemical compositions of essential oils of *Amomum verum* and *Cinnamomum parthenoxylon* and their *in vitro* biological properties

Janpen Tangjitjaroenkun^{1*®}, Rungnapa Tangchitcharoenkhul^{2®}, Waraporn Yahayo^{3®}, Suangson Supabphol^{4®}, Ruengrit Sappapan^{5®}, Roongtawan Supabphol^{6®}

¹Department of Resources and Environment, Faculty of Science at Si Racha, Kasetsart University, Si Racha campus, Thailand
 ²Graduate School, Suan Dusit University, Thailand
 ³Multidisciplinary Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Srinakarinwirot University, Thailand
 ⁴Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand
 ⁵Bangkok Lab & Cosmetic Co. Ltd., Thailand
 ⁶Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand

ARTICLEINFO

Original Article

Received: 20 October 2019

Accepted: 20 March 2020

Cinnamomum parthenoxylon

Antimicrobial activity

Antioxidant activity

Article History:

Keywords:

Essential oil

Cytotoxicity

Amomum verum

Article Type:

A B S T R A C T

Introduction: In eastern Thailand, *Amomum verum* and *Cinnamomum parthenoxylon* are native plants used by local communities for their medical and culinary properties. This study determined the chemical composition and biological activities of the essential oils from *A. verum* shoots (AVS-EO) and *C. parthenoxylon* wood (CPW-EO).

Methods: Essential oils were extracted using hydro-distillation and analyzed using gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS). The antimicrobial activity was evaluated using the disc diffusion method and broth microdilution assay. The cytotoxic activity of the essential oils was assessed against the human prostate adenocarcinoma (DU145) cell line using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. The antioxidant activity of the essential oils was determined using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and 2,2'-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) radical scavenging assays. The expression of antioxidant genes in the DU145 cells was evaluated using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

Results: 1,8-Cineole was the main component in AVS-EO and CPW-EO with 84.38, and 45.65 %, respectively. AVS-EO had stronger antimicrobial activity than CPW-EO. The lowest minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum microbicidal concentration (MMC) values of AVS-EO against *Candida albicans* were 0.3125 and 2.5 mg/mL, respectively. Both essential oils had time-dependent and dose-dependent cytotoxic effects on the DU145 human prostate adenocarcinoma cells. CPW-EO had high antioxidant activity toward DPPH and ABTS radicals with IC₅₀ values of 4.528 ± 0.233 and 0.045 ± 0.007 mg/mL, respectively. The two essential oils up-regulated glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and glutathione reductase (GRx) mRNA expression in the oxidative stress response of DU145 cells.

Conclusion: AVS-EO and CPW-EO might be added as natural ingredients in food or dietary supplement products for the benefit of microbial and prostate cancer inhibition.

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

The essential oils of *A. verum* shoot (AVS-EO) and *C. parthenoxylon* wood (CPW-EO) had significant antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic properties. Consequently, they might be used as potential natural agents for the treatment of infectious diseases and prostate cancer.

Please cite this paper as: Tangjitjaroenkun J, Tangchitcharoenkhul R, Yahayo W, Supabphol S, Sappapan R, Supabphol R. Chemical compositions of essential oils of *Amomum verum* and *Cinnamomum parthenoxylon* and their *in vitro* biological properties. J Herbmed Pharmacol. 2020;9(3):223-229. doi: 10.34172/jhp.2020.29.

Introduction

Thailand is rich in plant biodiversity. The geographical features in eastern Thailand contain short mountain ranges alternating with small river basins (1). The conditions of

the soil and climate have made this area well known as a major source of herbs and spices. Some plant species have been used in traditional medicine that reflects the local wisdom pass down from generation to generation through

^{*}Corresponding author: Janpen Tangjitjaroenkun, Email: xjanpen@gmail.com

shared experience within the communities. Amomum verum Blackw. and Cinnamomum parthenoxylon Meisn. are native plants used by local communities for their medical and culinary properties. A. verum known as 'Cardamom' is a spice of the Zingiberaceae family. The leaves are alternate with an acute leaf apex. The flowers have small yellow petals. The fruits are a subspherical shape with three locules and have been used as a spice (2). Its essential oil has been used in flavoring agents. The fresh shoots and unripe fruits are served with various types of chili paste ('nam prick' in Thai). The fruit is used to treat stomach diseases, digestive disorders and flatulence (3). Many studies have reported on the isolation and characterization of chemical constituents from the fruit of this plant. The main constituents in the fruit are essential oils, namely 1,8-cineole, a-pinene, and a-terpinene. In addition, two novel compounds: (7S)-p-cymene-2,7,8triol and (3R,4R,6S)-p-menth-1-ene-3,6,10-triol are found in the ethanol extract of A. kravanh fruit (4). Furthermore, its essential oil has antibacterial activity against Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli (5).

Cinnamomum parthenoxylon is a medium-sized evergreen tree growing to 30 m in height belonging to the family Lauraceae. The leaves are alternate and are elliptic-ovate in shape. The inflorescence is axillary or in a pseudo terminal panicle. The flowers occur in small white or yellowish clusters at the top of the stem. The fruit is small and spherical in shape and unripe fruit is green, becoming black when fully ripe. The leaves and bark are used as spices and their essential oil could be used as a flavoring agent in food and beverages (6). C. parthenoxylon is also used in indigenous medicine for the treatment of various disorders including flatulence and as a heart tonic and for stomach problems (7). Safrole is the main component, consisting of approximately 99.8% of the leaf essential oil. It can inhibit the growth of Candida albicans with an MIC value of 0.063% v/v (8). The root oil showed potent activity against Streptococcus mutans (MIC 0.01 mg/mL), C. albicans and dermatophytes (0.5-1.0 mg/ mL) and Bacillus subtilis (MIC 2 mg/mL). The methanol extract of the heartwood showed moderate activity, with an inhibition zone >10 mm, against the phytopathogenic fungus, Gloeophyllum trabeum, using disc diffusion assay (9).

In eastern Thailand, the fresh shoots of *A. verum* are widely used in curry dishes and the aromatic wood of *C. parthenoxylon* can be used to treat illnesses or to build furniture. In addition, the literature survey revealed that there have been very few studies on the essential oil from either *A. verum* shoots or *C. parthenoxylon* wood. The present study aimed to characterize the essential oil in the *A. verum* shoot (AVS-EO) and the essential oil in the *C. parthenoxylon* wood (CPW-EO) along with their antimicrobial, cytotoxic and antioxidant properties.

Material and Methods

Plant materials

Fresh *A. verum* shoots were collected from a garden in Soi Dao district, Chantaburi province, Thailand. Fresh wood from *C. parthenoxylon* was obtained from a tropical rainforest in Khao Saming district, Trat province, Thailand. The plants were identified as *A. verum* Blackw. and *C. parthenoxylon* Meisn. by a forestry technical officer (Mr. Sukid Rueangruea and Mr. Manoop Poopath) at the Bangkok Forest Herbarium (BKF), Department of National Parks, Bangkok, Thailand. The voucher specimen numbers were recorded as BKF No.194332 for *A. verum* and BKF No.194296 for *C. parthenoxylon*.

Essential oil extraction

The shoots of *A. verum* were chopped into small pieces and hydro-distillated in a Clevenger-type apparatus. The essential oils were separated and dehydrated using anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4), weighed and kept at 4°C until further analysis. The air-dried wood of *C. parthenoxylon* was powdered in an electric blender and a branch-shredding machine. The powdered wood was distillated and then went through the same process applied to the *A. verum* shoots to obtain the essential oil.

Gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) analysis

The analysis of GC-MS was performed on an Agilent GC6890N equipped with a 5973 mass spectrometer and an HP-5MS capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm; 0.25 m film thickness) (Santa Clara, CA, USA). The helium carrier gas was set a constant flow rate at 1.2 mL/min. Split injection (20:1) was used in an injection port at 230°C. The program began with an oven temperature at 50°C for 5 minutes, increasing at 4°C/min up to 230°C. The mass spectra (MS) detection was performed in the electron ionization (EI) mode, (70 eV), with a scan mode range of 40-400 amu. The identification of volatile components was confirmed by comparing their mass spectrum with the Wiley 7n mass spectral library (10).

Microbial strains

The test organisms used to test the antimicrobial activity of essential oils against three Gram-positive bacteria (*Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* ATCC 15305) and seven Gram-negative bacteria (*Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 13311, *Enterobacter cloacae* ATCC 23355, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 13883 and *Proteus mirabilis* DMST 8212) and one pathogenic fungus (*Candida albicans* ATCC 10231). The bacterial culture was maintained on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) at 37°C for 24 hours whereas *C. albicans* was cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) at 30°C for 48 hours. These microorganisms were obtained from the Department of Medical Science Thailand Culture Collection (DMST), Ministry of Public Health, Nonthaburi, Thailand.

Screening of antimicrobial activity

The disc diffusion method was used to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of the essential oils (11). The essential oils were emulsified into 1% (v/v) Tween 80 to the test concentration. The bacterial suspension was adjusted using sterile saline to 0.5 McFarland standard turbidity $(1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/mL})$ and that of fungal suspension to 1.0×106 CFU/mL. Then, 100 µL of each suspension was spread on MHA for bacteria and on SDA for yeast. On the inoculated agar surface, an autoclaved paper disc (6 mm in diameter) was loaded with 10 μ L of the essential oil (5 mg/disc). Discs containing 10 µL of 1% Tween 80 were used as negative controls. The positive controls consisted of standard antibiotic discs of penicillin G (10 unit/disc), chloramphenicol (30 µg/disc) and ketoconazole (50 µg/ disc). Then, the inoculated MHA plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours while the SDA plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 hours. After incubation, the diameter of the antimicrobial zone of inhibition around the impregnated disc was measured in millimeters. All experiments were repeated in triplicate.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum microbicidal concentration (MMC) The MIC and MMC values were determined using the modified microdilution method with resazurin in 96well microplates, using Muller-Hinton broth (MHB) for bacteria and Sabouraud dextrose broth (SDB) for yeast (12). Serial dilutions of each essential oil with two-fold dilution steps starting from 0.039 mg/mL and up to 100 mg/mL were made using 1% Tween 80. Each well of the microplate included 60 μ L of the media, 20 μ L of diluted essential oil samples and 20 µL of cell suspension at a concentration of 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL. The final volume in each well was 100 µL. The positive controls were chloramphenicol for the bacteria and ketoconazole for the fungus, while sterile MHB and SDB media with 1% Tween 80 were respectively used as negative control for the bacteria and fungus. The bacterial microplates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours whereas the yeast microplates were incubated at 30°C for 48 hours. After that, 10 µL of the sterile aqueous solution of resazurin (0.02%) was added to each well and re-incubated for 3 hours. A change in color of the resazurin from blue to pink indicated the growth of microorganisms. The lowest concentration of the essential oil with no change of resazurin color was defined as the MIC value for that individual essential oil. The MMC was determined by subculturing onto MHA plates (for bacteria) or SDA plates (for yeast) from each well with a concentration above the MIC that showed no visible growth. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria and at 30°C for 48 hours for C. albicans. The

MMC values were recorded as the lowest dilution of the essential oils showing no cell growth on the agar plates. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and repeated three times on different days.

Cell culture

Human prostate adenocarcinoma cells (DU145) were kindly provided by Professor Thompson EW, Department of Surgery, St. Vincent's Institute, University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia. The cells were cultured as a monolayer in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 unit/mL penicillin and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin. The cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Cell viability assay

The cytotoxicity of essential oils was determined using colorimetric assay with 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) reagent (13). Briefly, DU145 cells were seeded onto a 96-well culture plate at a density of 30 000-60 000 cells/well in serum-containing medium. Cells were grown to 80% confluency and treated with varying concentrations (0-0.4 mg/mL) of essential oils for 1, 4, and 7 days at 37°C in 5% CO₂. After treatment, the cells were washed twice with phosphate buffer saline (PBS). Then, 150 µL of MTT (1 mg/mL) in serum-free DMEM was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂. The supernatant was removed and the MTT formazan crystals were then solubilized with 150 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in each well. Absorbance was measured using a Biotek Synergy HT microplate reader (Winooski, VT, USA) at a wavelength of 570 nm. The percentage cell survival compared to the untreated cells was determined. The viability of untreated cells was defined as 100%. IC_{50} (the concentration that inhibited 50% cell growth) was used to compare the cytotoxic activity. All tests were done in triplicate and each test was carried out independently three times.

Free radical scavenging activity

The free radical scavenging activity of essential oils was determined according to the method described by Tangjitjaroenkun et al (14). The essential oils were dissolved in absolute ethanol at different concentrations. Each sample of essential oil (250 μ L) was mixed with 250 μ L of freshly prepared ethanolic solution of DPPH (2.4 mg in 100 mL ethanol). Ethanol and synthetic antioxidant (butylated hydroxytoluene, BHT) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. After 30 minutes of incubation at room temperature, the reaction mixture absorbance was measured at 520 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer. The percentage inhibition of DPPH radical scavenging activity was determined using the equation:

% inhibition = $[(A_{blank} - A_{sample})] / A_{blank} \times 100$

where A_{blank} and A_{sample} are the absorbance of the negative control and the test essential oils, respectively. The IC₅₀ value was calculated using the plotted graph between the percentage of DPPH radical scavenging capacity and the different concentrations of essential oil and BHT. All samples were tested in triplicate.

Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity assay

The capacity of essential oils was determined according to Tangjitjaroenkun et al with the following slight modifications (14). 2,2'-Azino-bis(3ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) radical cation (ABTS⁺⁺) was generated by the reaction of 14 mM ABTS aqueous solution with 5 mM potassium persulfate $(K_{a}S_{a}O_{a})$ solution; the mixture was incubated in the dark at room temperature for 12-16 hours. The ABTS** solution was diluted with deionized water to obtain an absorbance value of 0.80±0.05 at a wavelength of 734 nm. Then, the ABTS⁺⁺ solution was mixed with each diluted essential oil, or Trolox standard solution at various concentrations. All tests were analyzed using at least three independent replicates. After 1 minute of reaction, the absorbance of the resulting solution was recorded at a wavelength of 734 nm against a water blank. The results were calculated as IC₅₀ values and compared with the standard Trolox in terms of Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC). The antioxidant activity was presented as µM Trolox/g essential oil.

Gene expression of antioxidant enzymes

Healthy DU145 cells were divided into two groups (the control and the treatment) with different concentrations of essential oils (0.00-0.20 mg/mL) for 7 days at 37°C in humidified air with 5% CO₂. Total cellular RNA samples from control and treated cells were extracted using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The concentration and purity of the extracted RNA were determined based on the absorbances at 260 and 260 nm/280 nm ratios, respectively. Then, 50 μ g of RNA from each sample was transcribed to cDNA using a SuperScript RT kit (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction.

qRT-PCR for catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and glutathione

reductase (GRx) mRNA expression were performed using SYBR green assay (Roche Diagnostics, Penzberg, Germany) on a C100TM Thermo Cycler detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). All primers are listed in Table 1. The real time PCR reaction was carried out in a final volume of 20 µL containing 10 µL of SYBR Green Master Mix, 0.8 µL of each primer, 1 µL of cDNA and 8.2 µL of nuclease free water. The PCR conditions were set up as: initial DNA denaturation of 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 seconds, annealing and extension at 59°C for 1 minute (15). The relative quantification of gene expression was calculated according to the 2^{-ΔΔCT} method. The expression level of the antioxidant genes was normalized according to the expression of the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene. The reactions for each sample were performed in triplicate. The antioxidant gene expression was reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) from three independent amplifications.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses in this study were performed using the SPSS software package, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The data, expressed as mean \pm SD, were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and Turkey's multiple comparison tests and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Chemical composition of the essential oils

Fresh shoots of *A. verum* and dried wood pieces of *C. parthenoxylon* were hydro-distillated and produced essential oil yields of 0.37% and 10.58% (w/w), respectively. The chemical composition of the essential oils was carried out using GC–MS and the results are shown in Table 2.

The main content in both essential oils was oxygenated monoterpene (86.72 and 77.49%, respectively) and identified as 1,8-cineol (84.38 and 45.65%, respectively). Approximately 10% of monoterpene hydrocarbons was also found in AVS-EO and CPW-EO. Five compounds were identified in the AVS-EO representing 97.74% of the total oil, while 17 compounds were detected in CPW-EO.

Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity of the essential oils was qualitatively and quantitatively determined using disc

Table 1. Nucleotides sequence of PCR primers using in gene expression analysis

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
CAT	5'-CCTGGAGCACAGCATCCAAT-3'	5'-GAATGCCCGCACCTGAGTAA-3'
SOD	5'-GAGACTTGGGCAATGTGACTG-3'	5'-TTACACCACAAGCCAAACGA-3'
GPx	5'-TGGACATCAGGAGAACTGTCAGA-3'	5'-AGACAGGATGCTCGTTCTGCC-3'
GRx	5'-AAGCGGGATGCCTATGTGAG-3'	5'-TTGGGATCACTCGTGAAGGC-3'
GAPDH	5'-AGTCCACTGGCGTCTTCACC-3'	5'-GTTCACACCCATGACGAACATG-3'

http://www.herbmedpharmacol.com

 Table 2. Gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) analysis of

 essential oils of Amomum verum shoots (AVS-EO) and Cinnamomum

 parthenoxylon wood (CPW-EO)

8.22 α -Thujene - 0.28 8.46 α -Pinene - 1.40 10.08 Sabinene - 2.33 10.17 β -Pinene - 1.00 10.87 β -Myrcene - 0.61 11.32 δ -3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $\frac{trans-p}{2,8-dienol}$ 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped comporterts (%) 11.02 10.72 Monoterpene hydroarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes	Retention time (min)	Component	AVS-EO (%) ^a	CPW-EO (%) ^a																																																																																																																		
8.46 α-Pinene - 1.40 10.08 Sabinene - 2.33 10.17 β-Pinene - 1.00 10.87 β-Myrcene - 0.61 11.32 δ -3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α-Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 <i>p</i> -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $\frac{trans-p}{2,8-dienol}$ 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 0xygenated sesquiterpenes -<	8.22	α-Thujene	-	0.28																																																																																																																		
10.08 Sabinene - 2.33 10.17 β-Pinene - 1.00 10.87 β-Myrcene - 0.61 11.32 δ -3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 <i>p</i> -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 <i>γ</i> -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $\frac{trans-p}{2,8-dienol}$ 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped comports (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 - Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 -	8.46	α-Pinene	-	1.40																																																																																																																		
10.17 β-Pinene - 1.00 10.87 β-Myrcene - 0.61 11.32 δ -3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 <i>p</i> -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 - 0xygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - Benzonoids - 4.60 - <tr <="" td=""><td>10.08</td><td>Sabinene</td><td>-</td><td>2.33</td></tr> <tr><td>10.87 β-Myrcene - 0.61 11.32 δ-3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α-Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p-Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 trans-p-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 - Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - Benzonoids - 4.60 - 4.60</td><td>10.17</td><td>β-Pinene</td><td>-</td><td>1.00</td></tr> <tr><td>11.32 δ-3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α-Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p-Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 - 0xygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - Benzonoids - 4.60 - - Total identified 97.74 95.84 -</td><td>10.87</td><td>β-Myrcene</td><td>-</td><td>0.61</td></tr> <tr><td>11.83 α-Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p-Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinene4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>11.32</td><td>δ-3-Carene</td><td>-</td><td>1.30</td></tr> <tr><td>12.16 p-Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 0xygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 3.03 - 3.03 -</td><td>11.83</td><td>α-Terpinene</td><td>-</td><td>0.91</td></tr> <tr><td>12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>12.16</td><td><i>p</i>-Cymeme</td><td>4.87</td><td>1.11</td></tr> <tr><td>12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>12.32</td><td>Limonene</td><td>6.15</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped comports (%) Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 3.03</td><td>12.44</td><td>1,8-Cineole</td><td>84.38</td><td>45.65</td></tr> <tr><td>14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 0xygenated sesquiterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84 -</td><td>13.56</td><td>γ-Terpinene</td><td>-</td><td>1.37</td></tr> <tr><td>trans-p-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.00 $\frac{trans-p-Mentha-}{2,8-dienol}$ 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>14.73</td><td>α-Terpinolene</td><td>-</td><td>0.41</td></tr> <tr><td>16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>16.00</td><td><i>trans-p</i>-Mentha- 2,8-dienol</td><td>1.27</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>16.86</td><td>Camphor</td><td>-</td><td>11.62</td></tr> <tr><td>18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>18.14</td><td>Terpinen-4-ol</td><td>-</td><td>6.05</td></tr> <tr><td>22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>18.67</td><td>α-Terpineol</td><td>1.07</td><td>14.17</td></tr> <tr><td>24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>22.12</td><td>Safrole</td><td>-</td><td>4.10</td></tr> <tr><td>30.88trans-Nerolidol-3.03Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84</td><td>24.46</td><td>Eugenol</td><td>-</td><td>0.50</td></tr> <tr><td>Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84</td><td>30.88</td><td>trans-Nerolidol</td><td>-</td><td>3.03</td></tr> <tr><td>Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84</td><td colspan="8">Grouped components (%)</td></tr> <tr><td>Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>Monoterpene hyd</td><td>lrocarbons</td><td>11.02</td><td>10.72</td></tr> <tr><td>Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>Oxygenated mono</td><td>oterpenes</td><td>86.72</td><td>77.49</td></tr> <tr><td>Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>Oxygenated sesqu</td><td>literpenes</td><td>-</td><td>3.03</td></tr> <tr><td>Total identified 97.74 95.84</td><td>Benzonoids</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>4.60</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Total identified</td><td></td><td>97.74</td><td>95.84</td></tr>	10.08	Sabinene	-	2.33	10.87 β-Myrcene - 0.61 11.32 δ -3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 trans- p -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 - Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - Benzonoids - 4.60 - 4.60	10.17	β-Pinene	-	1.00	11.32 δ -3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 - 0xygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - Benzonoids - 4.60 - - Total identified 97.74 95.84 -	10.87	β-Myrcene	-	0.61	11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinene4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	11.32	δ-3-Carene	-	1.30	12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 0xygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 3.03 - 3.03 -	11.83	α -Terpinene	-	0.91	12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	12.16	<i>p</i> -Cymeme	4.87	1.11	12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	12.32	Limonene	6.15	-	13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped comports (%) Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 3.03	12.44	1,8-Cineole	84.38	45.65	14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 0xygenated sesquiterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84 -	13.56	γ-Terpinene	-	1.37	trans-p-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.00 $\frac{trans-p-Mentha-}{2,8-dienol}$ 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	14.73	α -Terpinolene	-	0.41	16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	16.00	<i>trans-p</i> -Mentha- 2,8-dienol	1.27	-	18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	16.86	Camphor	-	11.62	18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	18.14	Terpinen-4-ol	-	6.05	22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	18.67	α-Terpineol	1.07	14.17	24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	22.12	Safrole	-	4.10	30.88trans-Nerolidol-3.03Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84	24.46	Eugenol	-	0.50	Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84	30.88	trans-Nerolidol	-	3.03	Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84	Grouped components (%)								Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	Monoterpene hyd	lrocarbons	11.02	10.72	Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	Oxygenated mono	oterpenes	86.72	77.49	Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	Oxygenated sesqu	literpenes	-	3.03	Total identified 97.74 95.84	Benzonoids		-	4.60		Total identified		97.74	95.84
10.08	Sabinene	-	2.33																																																																																																																			
10.87 β-Myrcene - 0.61 11.32 δ -3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 trans- p -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 - Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - Benzonoids - 4.60 - 4.60	10.17	β-Pinene	-	1.00																																																																																																																		
11.32 δ -3-Carene - 1.30 11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 - 0xygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - Benzonoids - 4.60 - - Total identified 97.74 95.84 -	10.87	β-Myrcene	-	0.61																																																																																																																		
11.83 α -Terpinene - 0.91 12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinene4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	11.32	δ-3-Carene	-	1.30																																																																																																																		
12.16 p -Cymeme 4.87 1.11 12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 0xygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 3.03 - 3.03 -	11.83	α -Terpinene	-	0.91																																																																																																																		
12.32 Limonene 6.15 - 12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	12.16	<i>p</i> -Cymeme	4.87	1.11																																																																																																																		
12.44 1,8-Cineole 84.38 45.65 13.56 γ -Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	12.32	Limonene	6.15	-																																																																																																																		
13.56 γ-Terpinene - 1.37 14.73 α-Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped comports (%) Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 3.03	12.44	1,8-Cineole	84.38	45.65																																																																																																																		
14.73 α -Terpinolene - 0.41 16.00 $trans-p$ -Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) - 3.03 - Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 0xygenated sesquiterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84 -	13.56	γ-Terpinene	-	1.37																																																																																																																		
trans-p-Mentha- 2,8-dienol 1.27 - 16.00 $\frac{trans-p-Mentha-}{2,8-dienol}$ 1.27 - 16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	14.73	α -Terpinolene	-	0.41																																																																																																																		
16.86 Camphor - 11.62 18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	16.00	<i>trans-p</i> -Mentha- 2,8-dienol	1.27	-																																																																																																																		
18.14 Terpinen-4-ol - 6.05 18.67 α -Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	16.86	Camphor	-	11.62																																																																																																																		
18.67 α-Terpineol 1.07 14.17 22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	18.14	Terpinen-4-ol	-	6.05																																																																																																																		
22.12 Safrole - 4.10 24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	18.67	α-Terpineol	1.07	14.17																																																																																																																		
24.46 Eugenol - 0.50 30.88 trans-Nerolidol - 3.03 Grouped components (%) 11.02 10.72 Monoterpene hydrocarbons 11.02 10.72 Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	22.12	Safrole	-	4.10																																																																																																																		
30.88trans-Nerolidol-3.03Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84	24.46	Eugenol	-	0.50																																																																																																																		
Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84	30.88	trans-Nerolidol	-	3.03																																																																																																																		
Monoterpene hydrocarbons11.0210.72Oxygenated monoterpenes86.7277.49Oxygenated sesquiterpenes-3.03Benzonoids-4.60Total identified97.7495.84	Grouped components (%)																																																																																																																					
Oxygenated monoterpenes 86.72 77.49 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	Monoterpene hyd	lrocarbons	11.02	10.72																																																																																																																		
Oxygenated sesquiterpenes - 3.03 Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	Oxygenated mono	oterpenes	86.72	77.49																																																																																																																		
Benzonoids - 4.60 Total identified 97.74 95.84	Oxygenated sesqu	literpenes	-	3.03																																																																																																																		
Total identified 97.74 95.84	Benzonoids		-	4.60																																																																																																																		
	Total identified		97.74	95.84																																																																																																																		

(%)^a: relative percentage obtained from peak area.

diffusion assay and the broth microdilution susceptibility test, respectively (Table 3).

The qualitative antimicrobial activities of AVS-EO and CPW-EO (5 mg/disc) were assessed by measuring the size of the inhibition zone resulting from the growth of the test microbial strains compared to the standard antibiotic discs. The inhibition zone diameters of AVS-EO and CPW-EO for the tested organisms were in the ranges 8.0-31.7 and 8.5-14.3 mm, respectively. The AVS-EO had antimicrobial effects against eight microorganisms, especially *E. cloacae* and *C. albicans* with inhibition zones of 31.7 and 18.2 mm, respectively, and did not affect the growth of *P. aeruginosa* and *P. mirabilis*. The CPW-EO had a broad spectrum of activity against all tested microorganisms with high sensitivity to *B. subtilis* and *E. cloacae*.

The quantitative determination based on the MIC and MMC values of the essential oils produced the same trends as the qualitative analysis. AVS-EO had the lowest MIC and MMC to *C. albicans*, which was inferred from the fungistatic and fungicidal activities, while only bacteriostatic activity was found with reference to *E. cloacae*. For CPW-EO, the lowest MIC was found against

E. cloacae at 1.25 mg/mL.

Cytotoxic activity

The *in vitro* cytotoxic activity of the essential oils from *A. verum* and *C. parthenoxylon* was evaluated in human prostate adenocarcinoma cells (DU145) using MTT assay. This assay was based on the activity of mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase in living cells being able to cleave the tetrazolium rings of MTT and form dark blue formazan crystals (16). The amount of formazan product is directly proportional to the number of viable cells. Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of each essential oil from 0 to 0.40 mg/mL in culture medium for 1, 4 and 7 days. The cytotoxic effects of each essential oil on the growth of the DU145 were found to be dose- and time-dependent and were presented as the percentage of cell viability compared to the control group (Figure 1).

The IC₅₀ values for the AVS-EO treatment for 1, 4 and 7 days were >0.40, approximately 0.40 and 0.185 ± 0.008 mg/mL, respectively, while those of CPW-EO were >0.40, approximately 0.40 and 0.170 ± 0.012 mg/mL, respectively. The growth inhibition of concentration ranged up to 0.20 mg/mL and was slightly different for the essential oils. A significant decrease was observed when the dose increased to 0.30-0.40 mg/mL.

Antioxidant capacity of essential oils

In this study, the antioxidant capacity of the essential oils was evaluated using two test methods (DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activities). The antioxidant activity of AVS-EO could not be tested using these two assays because the IC₅₀ was more than 10 mg/mL. These tests inferred a higher antioxidant activity for CPW-EO. The IC₅₀ values of CPW-EO based on DPPH and ABTS were 4.528 ± 0.233 and 0.045 ± 0.007 mg/mL, respectively. The TEAC value of CPW-EO was 157.20 ± 10.24 µM trolox/g.

Gene expression of antioxidant enzymes

Both essential oils showed similar trends of antioxidant gene expression (Figure 2). After 7 days of incubation, SOD and CAT expressions were significantly downregulated in a dose-dependent manner. In contrast, GPx and GRx expressions were significantly up-regulated in the treated cells compared to the control.

Discussion

According to the reports by Feng et al and Diao et al in China, the oxygenated monoterpene (1,8-cineole) was found to be the main component in *A. verum* dried fruit oil. Our results showed that different parts of *A. verum*, specifically the shoots, also possessed 1,8-cineole as the main component amounting to 84.38% (5,17). For *C. parthenoxylon*, Subki et al and Palanuvej et al found that safrole was the main content in leaves at more than 90% (8,18). Our study is the first report mentioning the wood

Microorganism	AVS-EO		CPW-EO		Chloramphinicol ^b		Penicillin G ^b			Ketoconazole ^b					
	DIZª (mm)	МІС	ммс	DIZª (mm)	МІС	ммс	DIZª (mm)	MIC	ММС	DIZª (mm)	МІС	MMC	DIZª (mm)	MIC	ММС
B. subtilis ATCC 6633	12.05 ± 0.21	2.5	>20	14.30 ± 1.33	10	>20	24.90 ± 0.61	0.0078	0.5	24.15 ± 0.26	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
S. aureus ATCC 25923	8.00 ± 0.36	1.25	>20	8.50 ± 0.68	10	>20	17.13 ± 0.45	0.0078	0.125	22.95 ± 1.20	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
<i>S. saprophyticus</i> ATCC 15305	9.28 ± 0.73	>20	>20	11.93 ± 0.83	10	>20	21.08 ± 0.40	0.0039	0.125	33.73 ± 0.60	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
E. coli ATCC 25922	8.13 ± 0.28	1.25	>20	9.90 ± 1.01	5	>20	23.87 ± 0.45	0.00195	0.625	6.67 ± 0.42	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853	0.00 ± 0.00	>20	>20	11.57 ± 0.95	>20	>20	0.00 ± 0.00	0.0625	0.625	6.13 ± 0.21	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
S. typhimurium ATCC 13311	6.98 ± 0.17	2.5	>20	10.24 0.57	5	>20	26.07 ± 0.97	0.00195	0.125	17.48 ± 0.19	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
E. cloacae ATCC 23355	31.70 ± 0.42	0.625	>20	13.93 0.76	1.25	>20	42.57 ± 0.67	0.0078	0.125	38.33 ± 0.21	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
K. pneumoniae ATCC 13883	12.70 ± 0.26	2.5	>20	9.13 ± 1.18	5	>20	12.70 ± 0.26	0.0078	0.125	34.53 ± 0.50	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
P. mirabilis DMST 8212	0.00 ± 0.00	>20	>20	10.93 1.56	5	>20	7.20 ± 0.30	0.0313	0.125	15.87 ± 0.25	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
C. albicans ATCC 10231	18.17 ± 0.31	0.3125	2.5	11.67 ± 0.91	5	10	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	17.17 ± 0.40	0.0025	0.0050

Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of Amomum verum shoot (AVS-EO) and Cinnamomum parthenoxylon wood (CPW-EO) against tested microorganisms

DIZ: diameter of inhibition zone; MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration (mg/mL); MMC: minimum microbicidal concentration (mg/mL); NT: not tested. ^a values are mean inhibition zone (mm) ± SD of three replicates; ^b positive control.



Figure 1. Cytotoxic effects of AVS-EO (a) and CPW-EO (b) in DU145 cells after incubation for 1, 4 and 7 days. Data are expressed as mean percentage in treated cells ± standard deviation; * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 compared to the control group, n=3.

essential oil from *C. parthenoxylon* containing 1,8-cineole as a main content at 45.65%, instead of safrole. This can be used to confirm that the percentage and chemical constituents could be different in essential oils obtained from different parts of *C. parthenoxylon*. However, AVS-EO and CPW-EO contained the same main compound, 1,8-cineole.

Regarding antimicrobial activity, AVS-EO and CPW-EO were most effective at killing the fungus C. albicans and inhibiting the growth of gram-positive bacteria and gram-negative bacteria. AVS-EO was more active against the tested microorganisms than CPW-EO in terms of MIC values. The antimicrobial results may be related to the higher levels of 1,8-cineole in AVS-EO. Oxygenated monoterpenes in essential oil have been described as having antimicrobial activity in several reports. Our findings were in accordance with the results from Tabanca et al (19) who proved that the efficiency of a high amount of 1,8-cineole (over 85%) in eucalyptus essential oil can inhibit fungal growth, especially C. albicans. Li et al (20) also reported the antibacterial action of 1,8-cineole on the integrity of the cell membrane and on morphological alterations of the cell wall of treated E. coli and S. aureus. These results support the antimicrobial properties of 1,8-cineole against gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria and a pathogenic yeast (C. albicans). Furthermore, the interaction between major constituents and other constituents of essential oil has been attributed to various



(CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and glutathione reductase (GRx), in DU145 exposed to AVS-EO (a) and CPW-EO (b) for 7 days. The mRNA transcript levels were normalized relative to that of the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene. Data are expressed the mean \pm standard deviation; * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01 compared to control group, n=3.

degrees of antimicrobial efficacy (21).

Both essential oils displayed the same degree of toxicity against human prostate adenoma carcinoma cells in a time-dependent and dose-dependent fashion. There was clear cytotoxicity at high concentrations (0.3 and 0.4 mg/ mL) after 7 days of treatment. The cytotoxic activity might have been related to the major compound, 1,8-cineole as this has been reported to suppress cell proliferation and induce apoptosis in the leukemia cell lines, Molt4B and HL-60, and in colorectal cancer cells (22,23).

CPW-EO had better antioxidant properties than AVS-EO. This might be due to the different constituents of the essential oils. However, both essential oils seemed to have a weak antioxidant property as shown in a previous study using DPPH and FRAP assay (24). These findings supported that the antioxidant activity was not related to the high content of 1,8-cineole. Previous studies reported that oxygenated monoterpene, especially thymol, carvacrol and α -terpineol, possessed antioxidant activity in the essential oil from aromatic plants (25-26). This could explain how other compounds such as α -terpineol (14.17%) could be responsible for the higher antioxidant activity of CPW-EO.

Additional studies on the mRNA levels of four antioxidant genes revealed the down-regulation of SOD and CAT, with up-regulation of GPx and GRx. The down-regulation of SOD and CAT may lead to a possible mechanism *via* the accumulation of intracellular free radicals. The up-regulation of GPx and GRx might be

Tangjitjaroenkun et al

a cellular adaptation to use glutathione for free radical neutralization to protect the cell from oxidative stress. A previous study mentioned 1,8-cineole as a major compound in the essential oil of *Salvia lavandulifolia*, to regulate the cellular redox balance in astrocytes and to protect the astrocyte (U373-MG cell line) against H_2O_2 -induced oxidative stress cellular damage (27). Based on this finding, it can be concluded that the main constituent of AVS-EO and CPW-EO, namely 1,8-cineole, may play an important role in intracellular redox regulation through the gene expression of antioxidant enzymes in DU145.

Conclusion

The chemical constituents of AVS-EO and CPW-EO were analyzed and identified using GC-MS. The high content of the oxygenated monoterpene, 1,8-cineole, might play a key role in antimicrobial activity, cytotoxicity and the regulation of the intracellular redox balance *via* upregulation to GPx and GRx and down-regulation to SOD and CAT.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute (KURDI), Bangkok, Thailand and to the Faculty of Science at Sriracha, Kasetsart University, Thailand for financial support to this study.

Authors' contributions

JT and RS conceived and designed the experiment. JT, WY, SS performed the experiments. JT wrote the first draft of the manuscript. RT carried out the statistical analysis. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical considerations

Ethical issues (including plagiarism, misconduct, data fabrication, falsification, double publication or submission and redundancy) have been carefully observed by authors.

Funding/Support

This research was financially supported by the Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute (KURDI) [grant number 20155810208000] and partially supported by the Faculty of Science at Sriracha, Kasetsart University [grant number 4/2016].

References

- Soytong P, Janchidfa K, Phengphit N, Chayhard S, Perera R. The effects of land use change and climate change on water resources in the eastern region of Thailand. Int J Agri Technol. 2016;12(7.1):1695-722.
- Larsen K. A preliminary checklist of the Zingiberaceae of Thailand. Thai For Bull (Bot). 1996;24:35-49.

- 3. Yin H, Luo JG, Kong LY. Tetracyclic diterpenoids with isomerized isospongian skeleton and labdane diterpenoids from the fruits of *Amomum kravanh*. J Nat Prod. 2013;76:237-42.
- Luo JG, Yin H, Kong LY. Monoterpenes from the fruit of *Amomum kravanh*. J Asian Nat Prod Res. 2014;16(5):471-5. doi: 10.1080/10286020.2014.906405.
- Diao WR, Zhang LL, Feng SS, Xu JG. Chemical composition, antibacterial activity, and mechanism of action of the essential oil from *Amomum kravanh*. J Food Prot. 2014;77(10):1740-6. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-14-014.
- 6. Phongpaichit S, Kummee S, Nilrat L, Itarat A. Antimicrobial activity of oil from the root of *Cinnamomum porrectum*. Songklanakarin J Sci Technol. 2006;29(1):11-6.
- 7. Pongboonrord S. Mai-tet-muang-Thai. 1 st ed. Bangkok, Thailand: Kasem Bannakich Press; 1979.
- Palanuvej C, Werawatganone P, Lipipun V, Ruangrungsi N. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity against *Candida albicans* of essential oil from leaves of *Cinnamomum porrectum*. Thai J Health Res. 2006;20(1):69-76.
- Kawamura F, Ramle SFM, Sulaiman O, Hashim R, Ohara S. Antioxidant and antifungal activities of extracts from 15 selected heartwood species of Malaysian timber. Eur J Wood Wood Prod. 2011;69(2):207-12. doi: 10.1007/ s00107-010-0413-2.
- Adams RP. Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. 4th ed. Carol Stream, Illinois, USA: Allured Publishing Corporation; 2007.
- Tangjitjaroenkun J. Evaluation of antioxidant, antibacterial, and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis of ethyl acetate extract of *Streptomyces omiyaensis* SCH2. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2018;11(7):271-6. doi: 10.22159/ ajpcr.2018.v11i7.25692.
- Sarker SD, Nahar L, Kumarasamy Y. Microtiter-plate-based antibacterial assay incorporating resazurin as an indicator of cell growth, and its application in the *in vitro* antibacterial screening of phytochemicals. Methods. 2007;42(4):321-4. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2007.01.006.
- Tangjitjaroenkun J, Tangchitcharoenkhul R, Yahayo W, Supabphol R. *In vitro* antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of mangrove actinomycetes from eastern Thailand. Chiang Mai J Sci. 2017;44(2):322-37.
- Tangjitjaroenkun J, Supabphol R, Chavasiri W. Antioxidant effect of *Zanthoxylum limonella* Alston. J Med Plant Res. 2012;6(8):1407-14. doi: 10.5897/JMPR10.846.
- Yahayo W, Supabphol A, Supabphol R. Supression of human fibrosarcoma cell metastasis by *Phyllanthus emblica* extract *in vitro*. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14(11):6863-7. doi: 10.7314/apjcp.2013.14.11.6863.
- Edmondson JM, Armstrang LS, Martiner AO. A rapid and simple MTT-based spectrophotometric assay for determining drug sensitivity in monolayer cultures. J Tissue Cult Methods. 1998;11(1):15-7.
- Feng X, Jiang ZT, Wangm Y, Li R. Composition comparison of essential oil extracted by hydrodistillation and microwave-assisted hydrodistillation from *Amomum kravanh* and *Amomum compactum*. J Essent Oil-Bear Plants. 2011;14(3):354-9. doi: 10.1080/0972060X.2011.10643945.

- Subki SYM, Jamal JA, Husain K, Manshoor N. Characterisation of leaf essential oils of three *Cinnamomum* species from Malaysia by gas chromatography and multivariate data analysis. Pharmacogn J. 2013(1);5:22-9. doi: 10.1016/j.phcgj.2012.12.004.
- Tabanca N, Kirimer N, Demirci B, Demirci F, Baser KHC. Composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oils of *Micromeria cristata* subsp. *phyrgia* and the enantiomeric distribution of borneol. J Agric Food Chem. 2001;49(9):4300-3. doi: 10.1021/jf0105034.
- Li L, Li ZW, Yin ZQ, Wei Q, Jia RY, Zhou LJ, et al. Antibacterial activity of leaf essential oil and its constituents from *Cinnamomum longepaniculatum*. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014;7(7):1721-7.
- Tangjitjaroenkun J, Chavasiri W, Thunyaharn S, Yompakdee C. Bactericidal effects and time-kill studies of the essential oil from the fruits of *Zanthoxylum limonella* on multi-drug resistant bacteria. J Essent Oil Res. 2012;24(4):363-70. doi: 10.1080/10412905.2012.692907.
- 22. Murata S, Shiragami R, Kosugi C, Tezuka T, Yamazaki M, Hirano A, et al. Antitumor effect of 1,8-cineole against colon cancer. Oncol Rep. 2013;30(6):2647-52. doi: 10.3892/ or.2013.2763.

- 23. Moteki H, Hibasami H, Yamada Y, Katsuzaki H, Imai K, Komiya T. Specific induction of apoptosis by 1,8-cineole in two human leukemia cell lines, but not in a human stomach cancer cell line. Oncol Rep. 2002;9(4):757-60.
- Zengin H, Baysal AH. Antibacterial and antioxidant activity of essential oil terpenes against pathogenic and spoilage-forming bacteria and cell structure-activity relationships evaluated by SEM microscopy. Molecules. 2014;19(11):17773-98. doi: 10.3390/molecules191117773.
- 25. Bicas JL, Neri-Numa IA, Ruiz ALTG, De Carvalho JE, Pastore GM. Evaluation of the antioxidant and antiproliferative potential of bioflavors. Food Chem Toxicol. 2011;49(7):1610-5. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2011.04.012.
- 26. Miguel MG. Antioxidant activity of medicinal and aromatic plants. A review. Flavour Fragr J. 2010; 25:291-312. doi: 10.1002/ffj.1961.
- 27. Porres-Martínez M, González-Burgos E, Carretero ME, Gómez-Serranillos MP. Major selected monoterpenes α -pinene and 1,8-cineole found in *Salvia lavandulifolia* (Spanish sage) essential oil as regulators of cellular redox balance. Pharm Biol. 2015;53(6):921-9. doi: 10.3109/13880209.2014.950672.